



Human Social Dynamics Program

Integrating Socio-Ecological Sciences Through a Community Modeling Framework

Planning and Organizing Workshop at Arizona State University

March 1-3, 2007

Workshop Vision and Goals

Agent Based Models (ABMs) have recently emerged as a promising tool to study the dynamics of coupled human and natural systems. While ABMs are much discussed and are rapidly becoming perceived as a requirement for cutting-edge research centering on human-environmental interactions, most social and natural scientists still have a limited awareness of their potential, and the experimental nature of most ABM platforms prevents them from being readily accessible to researchers. Furthermore, a lack of standards has inhibited the exchange of modeling expertise and cumulative model building among different research teams, and there has been little effort at systematically addressing problems of validation and verification in modeling algorithms and results.

In this workshop we will address these issues and accelerate the regular integration of ABMs for research in social-natural dynamics by initiating a broad, community-wide agenda involving active researchers ranging from content experts in the social sciences, ecology, conservation biology and GIS, to computer scientists and mathematicians. We aim to establish a Community Modeling Framework for Social-Ecological research (CMFS), following successful examples of community frameworks for cybertool development in other research domains. The workshop will be followed by a proof-of-concept pilot project, comprising a new collaborative, scientific network to initiate the CMFS. The pilot project will establish a modeling archive and component library for jump starting new research using ABM, a collaboration server (CVS) for improving usability and usefulness of ABM for social-ecological research, a testbed of standard data for developing model evaluation protocols, proposed best practices for model dissemination and frameworks for model interchange, and a training program in ABM aimed at social and natural scientists. While there have been prior initiatives of more limited scope seeking to address some of issues raised here, we hope to establish a broad consortium of practitioners to bring together these disparate efforts in a coordinated agenda that has the potential to transform the integrated sciences of socio-ecological dynamics.

Agenda

February 28

Participants arrive

6:30 pm: Social hour at the Holiday Inn restaurant

March 1

8:00 am: Continental breakfast (CSDC)

9:00 am: Welcome and introduction: context, overview, and goals

10:00 am: Coffee break

10:15 am: Model library

Issues and Challenges: Marco Janssen

Moderator: Luis Amaral

When model analyses are published, the results are generally difficult to replicate. And if a researcher hopes to build on existing models, they are generally not easily accessible. Therefore, it would be helpful to establish a model library, where scholars publish key ABM models, along with model documentation and source code, when they publish a paper. How should we establish a library of published models to serve as record of prior research and guides for future work? What formats would best serve such a library? How would published models be made accessible? How can researcher credit, intellectual property, and community accessibility be balanced? Could a model library also benefit publication of results? If so, should we couple a model code library with publication protocols in a field test with a set of journals?

12:00 pm: Lunch (CSDC)

1:00 pm: Standard protocols for communicating ABMs

Issues and Challenges: Volker Grimm

Moderator: Doug Causey

It is essential that there be commonly agreed on protocols for description and presentation to evaluate the quality and scientific contribution of modeling oriented research. Standardized protocols are especially important for simulating complex phenomena which are difficult to characterize and where relevant methods are not yet widely understood. Such protocols can help establish the credibility of modeling results, offer a framework for replication and verification, and provide a 'ruler' against which journals can measure submitted manuscripts. Can we begin to define such a standardized protocol? How and where should this protocol be promoted and applied? What are the potentials and challenges of working with an initial set of journals to field test such a protocol?

Integrating Socio-Ecological Sciences Through a Community Modeling Framework

2:45 pm: Tea time

*3:00 pm: **ABM platforms and interfaces***

Issues and Challenges: Michael North

Moderator: Kathy Galvin

Developing usable simulations in current ABM platforms generally require experienced programming background. It would be helpful if future platforms have user interfaces that can be manipulated more directly by practicing scientists. This will make it easier for scientists to experiment with and evaluate the potential for ABM to address a broad array of issues in human-environmental interactions. However, ABMs must still retain more flexibility than is available in most software GUI's. What developments in ABM platforms would make them more accessible in this way? Can interfaces be designed to accommodate both a range of non-programmer end users and those who wish to change the underlying code? Can we establish a cooperative network and development environment to envision and create next-generation interfaces?

Self-organized dinner(s)

March 2

*8:00 am: **Continental breakfast (CSDC)***

*9:00 am: **GIS and ABM integration***

Issues and Challenges: Daniel Brown

Moderator: Dawn Parker

GIS and ABM technologies have followed similar but largely independent paths for introduction and use in the social and natural sciences. They also utilize very different underlying software architecture and model structure. Yet there is a clear need for tighter integration between agent based simulation platforms and spatial technologies, represented by GIS that is especially critical for modeling human decisions and their recursive environmental consequences at landscape scales. What is the current state-of-the-art in integrating GIS and ABM for modeling socio-ecological systems? What are the challenges and opportunities for dynamic interaction and model development among these different classes of models? In particular, how can issues of timing, scaling, and information flow be addressed? How can conceptual and functional integration between multiagent and geospatial modeling tool kits be facilitated?

*10:30 am: **Coffee break***

Integrating Socio-Ecological Sciences Through a Community Modeling Framework

10:45 am: Testbed for social-ecological ABMs

Issues and Challenges: Randy Gimblett

Moderator: Mark Altaweel

It would be helpful to establish a testbed of social-ecological data to be used for evaluating models and establishing evaluation protocols in a controlled and replicable environment. It is useful to have both carefully structured ‘artificially’ generated data sets and real-world data collected during social-ecological research. The artificial data, with systematically defined and known population parameters, serve for assessing the behavior of software code and for developing quantitative evaluation protocols; the real-world data serve to compare the efficacy of alternative modeling algorithms for answering research questions. Given the diversity of models and model objectives, even within socioecological research, is such a testbed realistically feasible? How could it be structured, described, and formatted?

12:30 pm: Lunch (CSDC)

1:30 pm: Archiving model components

Issues and Challenges: Steven Lansing

Moderator: Timothy Kohler

It is not necessary to reinvent the wheel in modern times, but this is what happening among scholars who model social-ecological systems. Many (though not all) models of complex human-environmental interaction involve similar agents: farmer, fisher, family, household, large herbivore, crop plant, etc. Can we establish an archive of standardized ABM ‘components’ (e.g. Java classes) that can be used, modified, and reused in multiple research projects? What formats would best serve such an archive? How can these components be made accessible to researchers with varying skill levels that seek to develop socioecological models? How can researcher credit, intellectual property, and community accessibility be balanced?

3:00 pm: Tea time

3:15 pm: Training in ABM methodology

Issues and Challenges: Bill Griffin

Moderator: Marina Alberti

Agent-based methodology is generally not taught in regular university courses. We propose to initiate a training program to jump-start the use of ABM by established scholars and students. This could involve complementary on-line tutorials, packaged course materials, summer schools, and intensive training workshops. What are the major skills gaps we are likely to face in our community? What are the possibilities for students and scholars to derive training and how can this be improved? Which of these venues are most effective for which audience?

Integrating Socio-Ecological Sciences Through a Community Modeling Framework

4:45 pm: Introduction to a community framework

Issues and Challenges: Michael Barton

We propose to establish a community modeling framework for social-ecological science. This will serve as a cooperative, multi-institutional and multi-disciplinary organization to carry out the components of a program to promote the regular use of agent-based modeling in social-ecological research, and guide this program into the future. What would such a consortium look like? How can member institutions benefit from such an organization and cooperatively develop new opportunities for socio-ecological research? How can management and organizational responsibilities best be shared to ensure long-term continuity?

7:00 pm: Group dinner at the Bamboo Club in downtown Tempe

March 3

8:00 am: Continental breakfast (CSDC)

9:00 am: Organizational charter

Moderator: Deborah Winslow

This will be a working session to plan a mission statement, governing body, organizational structure, working groups, and best practices guidelines.

Creating a consortium

- Election of a governing body (Steering Committee) to manage the pilot project
- Assignment of responsibilities for producing a report. This will include a copy of the preliminary charter and procedures guide. It will be published with NSF and an abbreviated version will be submitted for publication (e.g. to JASSS or other relevant journal)
- Establishment of a time-line for report and pilot project

10:30 am: Coffee break

12:00 pm: Lunch (CSDC)

Adjournment

Workshop Participants

<u>Name</u>	<u>Email</u>	<u>Affiliation</u>
Marina Alberti	malberti@u.washington.edu	University of Washington
Mark Altaweel	maltaweel@anl.gov	Argonne National Laboratory
Marty Anderies	Marty.Anderies@asu.edu	ASU
Michael Barton	michael.barton@asu.edu	ASU
Patrick Brezonik	pbrezoni@nsf.gov	National Science Foundation
Daniel Brown	danbrown@umich.edu	University of Michigan
Douglas Causey	afdc@uaa.alaska.edu,	University of Alaska, Anchorage
Kathy Galvin	kathy@nrel.colostate.edu	Colorado State University
Randy Gimblett	gimblett@ag.arizona.edu	University of Arizona
Bill Griffin	william.griffin@asu.edu	ASU
Volker Grimm	volker.grimm@ufz.de	Helmholtz Centre for Environmental Research - UFZ
Ross Hammond	rhammond@brookings.edu	Brookings Institution
Marco Janssen	Marco.Janssen@asu.edu	ASU
Anaru Kliskey	afadk@uaa.alaska.edu	University of Alaska, Anchorage Washington State University and Santa Fe Institute
Timothy Kohler	tako@wsu.edu	Washington State University and Santa Fe Institute
Melinda Laituri	mell@warnercnr.colostate.edu	Colorado State University
Steven Lansing	lansing@santafe.edu	University of Arizona and Santa Fe Institute
Lilian Na'ia Alessa	afla@uaa.alaska.edu	University of Alaska Anchorage
Michael North	north@anl.gov	Argonne National Laboratory
Luis A. Nunes Amaral	amaral@northwestern.edu	Northwestern University
Tad Park	tpark@u.arizona.edu	University of Arizona
Dawn Parker	dparker3@gmu.edu	George Mason University
Miles Parker	milesparker@gmail.com	Argonne National Laboratory
Bill Rand	wrand@northwestern.edu	Northwestern University
Hessam Sarjoughian	hessam@asu.edu	ASU
Sander van der Leeuw	vanderle@asu.edu	ASU
Deborah Winslow	dwinslow@nsf.gov	National Science Foundation